	Case 2:21-cv-01178-DJC-KJN Documer	nt 50 Filed 04/21/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RICHARD JULIUS DONALDSON,	No. 2:21-cv-1178 DJC KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	MERRICK GARLAND, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a former federal prisoner, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, with this	
18	civil action pursuant to <u>Bivens vs. Six Unknown Agents</u> , 403 U.S. 388 (1971). Plaintiff requests	
19	that the court appoint counsel. The United States Supreme Court has ruled that district courts	
20	lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in section 1983 cases. Mallard v.	
21	<u>United States Dist. Court</u> , 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In exceptional circumstances, the district	
22	court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Terrell	
23	v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36	
24	(9th Cir. 1990).	
25	The test for exceptional circumstances requires the court to evaluate the plaintiff's	
26	likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro	
27	se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. <u>Palmer v. Valdez</u> , 560 F.3d 965, 970	
28	(9th Cir. 2009) (district court did not abuse discretion in declining to appoint counsel). The	
		1

Case 2:21-cv-01178-DJC-KJN Document 50 Filed 04/21/23 Page 2 of 2

burden of demonstrating exceptional circumstances is on the plaintiff. <u>Id.</u> Circumstances common to most prisoners, such as lack of legal education and limited law library access, do not establish exceptional circumstances that warrant a request for voluntary assistance of counsel.

Having considered the factors under <u>Palmer</u>, the court finds that plaintiff failed to meet his burden of demonstrating exceptional circumstances warranting the appointment of counsel at this time. Plaintiff's filings demonstrate that he is capable of explaining the basis of his claims and citing relevant case law. In addition, he has now been released from custody.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel (ECF No. 48) is denied without prejudice.

Dated: April 20, 2023

KENDALL J. NEWMAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

/dona1178.31